

## EU Regulation – Leak Detection and Repair

## Context

- Norwegian legislation has for a long time focused on leak detection,
  GHG reduction and flaring prohibition (low waste).
- In an upstream context where methane has already seen quite a lot of attention, EU Regulation seems to presume little is in place, which adds a lot of prescription and at worst no improvement.
- > To merge this context with the Regulation is challenging due to the prescriptive (rather than functional) nature, benefits are incremental at best.

## **Obstacles**

- ➤ Subsea commercial una vaila bility of methods to quantify methane emissions underwater.
- > Above sea level—insufficient recognition of good performance.
- > Structuring of Type 1 and Type 2 survey definitions has painted us into a prescriptive corner:
  - > Automated systems in practice unattainable.
  - > Locks in current and inefficient technologies.

## Way forward

- > Evolve legislation with focus on leak volumes (leak rate × time to detection).
- ➤ Make real provisions for automated leak detection systems.

1 | Open